

Examination Internal Appeals Procedures Policy

Clarity Independent School

Bridge Barn Farm Woodhill Road Sandon CM2 7SG

Clarity Independent School is committed to safeguarding...

"Our school is committed to our whole-school approach to safeguarding, which ensures that keeping children safe is at the heart of everything we do, and underpins all systems, processes and policies...We promote an environment where children and young people feel empowered to raise concerns and report incidents and we work hard in partnership with pupils, parents and caregivers to keep children safe."

Clarity Safeguarding Policy September 2025

Written by Richard Clow
Assistant Head and SENDCO

This is version [4]

Written: 1st September 2023

Updated Date: 1st September 2025

Updated by Name: Richard Clow



Contents

Key staff involved in internal appeals procedures

Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Purpose of the Procedure

Principles Relating to Centre Assessed Marks

Procedure for Appealing Internal Assessment Decisions

Deadlines and Timescales

Reviews of Results (ROR)

Access to Scripts (ATS)

Post-Results Services

Centre Actions in Response to a Concern About a Result

Candidate Consent

Centre Actions in the Event of a Disagreement (Dispute)

Appeals

Complaints and Appeals Log

Internal Appeals Form

Links with other policies

This policy is linked to our:

- Examination Management Policy
- Examination Contingency Plan
- Examination Complaints and Appeals Procedure Policy
- Examination Word Processor Policy
- Non-examination Written Controlled Assessment Policy



- Assessment Procedures Policy
- Equality Policy
- Staff Handbook
- Whistleblowing Policy
- Safeguarding Policy
- Conflict of Interest Policy
- Recognition of Prior Learning Policy and Process
- Student Recruitment, Identification, Registration and Certification Policy (exams)

Key Staff Involved in Internal Appeals Procedures

Head of centre: Debbie Hanson

Senior leader(s): Michelle Deveney, Richard Clow,

Quality Nominee: Michelle Deveney

Exams officer: Richard Clow

Appeals

Appeals can be made in relation to three areas of the awarding bodies work:

- Appeals against results
- Appeals against malpractice decisions
- Appeals against decisions made in respect of access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

The JCQ publications Post-Results Services and JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal. Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the Review of Results outcome, but the candidate (or parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, an internal appeal may be made directly to the centre. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet.



Following a Review of Results outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre at the school remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal.

Appeals Against Internal Assessment Decisions (Centre Assessed Marks)

Certain qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by the school (Clarity Independent School) and internally reviewed/standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional until certificates are issued to the centre for release to candidates.

To submit an internal appeal:

- An internal appeals form should be completed and submitted to the centre within the time specified by the centre from the notification of the outcome of the review of the result.
- Subject to the head of centre's decision, the preliminary appeal will be processed and submitted to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process.
- Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer).
- If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Purpose of the Procedure

The purpose of this procedure is to confirm the arrangements at the school for dealing with candidate appeals relating to internal assessment decisions.

This procedure ensures compliance with JCQ regulations which state that centres must:



- Have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals
 procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this
 procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates.
- Before submitting marks to the awarding body, inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking.

Principles Relating to Centre Assessed Marks

The exam officer at the school will ensure that the following principles are in place in relation to marking the work of candidates:

- A commitment to ensuring that whenever teaching staff mark candidates' work, that this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.
- All centre staff follow a robust Non-examination Written Controlled Assessment Policy
 (for the management of non-examination assessments). This policy details all
 procedures relating to non-examination assessments for relevant qualifications
 delivered in the centre, including the marking and quality assurance/internal
 standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.
- Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity.
- A commitment to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line
 with the requirements of the awarding body. Where more than one subject
 teacher/tutor is involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and
 standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.
- On being informed of their centre assessed mark(s), if candidates believes that the
 above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the
 assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to their marking, then they may
 make use of the internal appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a
 review of the centre's marking.

Procedure for Appealing Internal Assessment Decisions (Centre Assessed Marks)

The exam officer at the school will:

- Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
- Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark, as a review will only focus on the quality of their work in meeting the published assessment criteria.



- Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
- Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the
 candidate (for some marked assessment materials, such as art work and recordings,
 inform the candidate that the originals will be shared under supervised conditions)
 within the period of time as specified.
- Provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review, they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be.
- Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's
 marking and confirm understanding that requests must be made in writing and will not
 be accepted after this deadline (see deadlines below).
- Allow sufficient time for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to
 marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's
 deadline for the submission of marks (see deadlines below).
- Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review.
- Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
- Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.
- Ensure the outcome of the review of the centre's marking is made known to the head of centre, who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body.
- Ensure a written record of the review is kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.
- Ensure the awarding body is informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

Deadlines and Timescales

- Upon request, copies of materials will be made available to the candidate within 14 calendar days.
- The deadline to request a review of marking must be made within 14 calendar days of the candidate receiving copies of the requested materials.
- The process for completing the review, making any changes to marks, and informing the candidate of the outcome will be completed within 14 calendar days, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of mark.



Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available (see below for details of how these are managed at the school.)

If teaching staff at the school or a candidate (or his/her parent/care-giver) have a concern that a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below. For a full guide to Post Results Services please refer to:

https://qualifications.pearson.com/content/dam/pdf/Support/Result-enquiry-services/jcq-post-results-services-booklet.pdf

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check) This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests).
- Service 2 (Review of marking).
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking) This service is only available for externally
 assessed components of GCE A-level specifications (an individual awarding body may
 also offer this priority service for other qualifications).
- Service 3 (Review of moderation) This service is not available to an individual candidate.

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking.
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning.

Post-results services

At the school:

- Candidates are made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results for specified qualifications listed on the JCQ Post Results Services booklet.
- Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking.

Full details of the post-results services, internal deadline(s) for requesting a service and the fees charged (where applicable) are provided by the exams officer.



Centre Actions in Response to a Concern About a Result

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the school will:

• Look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc., when made available by the awarding body, to determine if the concern may be justified.

For written components that contributed to the final grade, the school will:

• Where a place at university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking.

In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:

- (Where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline OR
- (Where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate.
- Collect written consent/permission from the candidate to access the script.
- On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking.
- Support a request for the appropriate Review of Results service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified.
- Collect written consent from the candidate to request the Review of Results service before the request is submitted.
- Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body.

For moderated components that contributed to the final grade the school will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation.
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised.



- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body if this is the case, a Review of Results service 3 (Review of Moderation) will not be available.
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for all candidates in the original sample.

Candidate Consent

The school will:

- Acquire written candidate consent (accepting informed consent via candidate email) in all cases before a request for a Review of Results service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body.
- Acquire informed candidate consent to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded.
- Only collect candidate consent after the publication of results.

Centre Actions in the Event of a Disagreement (Dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the school will:

- For a review of marking (Review of Results priority service 2), advise the candidate a review may be requested by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre.
- For a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to
 access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written
 permission (and any required fee) for the centre to access the script from the awarding
 body.
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (Review of Results service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for the centre to request the service from the awarding body.
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (Review of Results service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample.

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to



the centre at least 14 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal.

Complaints and Appeals Log

On receipt, all complaints/appeals are assigned a reference number and logged on the school's Complaints Log. The outcome is also recorded, along with outcome date.

The outcome of any review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre.

A written record of the review will be kept and logged as an appeal on the Complaints Log, so information can be easily made available to an awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review – this will be noted on this log.

This policy will be updated annually in accordance with relevant guidance and regulations.

Overall responsibility for internal appeals regarding examinations in **Clarity Independent School** rests with the Head Teacher, Debbie Hanson.



Appendix 1: Internal Appeals Form

For centre use only	Date received:	Reference No:
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below:		
☐ Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking.		
Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.		
Name of appellant:		
Candidate name if different to appellant:		
Awarding body:		
Exam paper code:		
Qualification type:		
Subject:		
Exam paper title:		
Please state the grounds for your appeal below:		
(If applicable, tick belo	ow)	
$f \square$ Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision, I wish to request a review of the centre's marking.		
(If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed.)		
Appellant signature:	Date o	of signature:

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure.